

Application of Iterative Algorithm for Fixed Point of Some Multi-Valued Mappings

Muhammad Sani
Department of Mathematics
Federal University Dutse, Nigeria
E-mail: msdjunior9@gmail.com
Tel.: 08063175744
August 15, 2017

Abstract: In this paper, an existing algorithm for fixed point of certain multi-valued map has been studied. Problem of convex optimization problem have been shown to be equivalent to some multi-valued fixed point problem. Consequently, application of the algorithm studied was given in connection with this problem.

Keywords: Fixed point theorem; pseudo-contractive mapping; convex optimization, Sub differential function, Gâteaux differentiable function, Fre'chet differentiable function and q-uniformly smooth space.

1 Introduction

A fixed point problem is that of finding a point $x \in X$ such that $T(x) = x$, where $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map and $X \subseteq Y$. The famous Banach fixed point theorem (also called the contraction mapping principle) states that every contraction mapping defined on a complete metric space into itself has a unique fixed point (see, e.g., [1]). The Brouwer fixed point theorem, [7], states that if $f: B \rightarrow B$ is a continuous function and B a closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n , then f has a fixed point. These are some of the well-known, in fact some of the most celebrated theorems in fixed point theory. The numerous applications of fixed point theory, perhaps, are the reason why it attracts the attention of many researchers. The applications are found in such areas as, theory of Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, Integral Equations, Integro-differential Equations, Optimization, Evolution equations and many others (see, e.g., [1], [10]). To solve many problems in these areas, one may be able to rewrite the problem as a fixed point problem for some appropriate map in some appropriate domain. For instance, to show that the equation

$$x^2 - 2 = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

has a solution in \mathbb{R} , one may consider the function

$$Tx = x^2 + x - 2, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is immediate that x is a solution of the equation (1.1) if and only if x is a fixed point of T . A fixed point problem normally has two parts :

- existence/uniqueness of a solution and
- obtaining a solution.

The Banach fixed point theorem addresses both existence / uniqueness and obtaining a solution questions. This, among other reasons, is what makes it famous (see, e.g., [2]). To address the question of obtaining a solution (of fixed point or other problem), the notion of iterative algorithms is developed. The study of fixed point of multi-valued maps has attracted the interest of so many mathematicians (and researchers from other fields), where a point $x \in D(T) \subseteq X$ is a fixed point of a multi-valued map $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ if $x \in Tx$

(see, e.g., [2], [17], [9], [14], [16]). This is partly due to the fact that many problems in some areas of mathematics such as Convex Optimization, Game theory, Variational Inequality Problems (VIP), etc. can be written as fixed point problems for multi-valued maps. As in the case of single-valued, there are two questions with regard to fixed point problems of multi-valued map:

- does a solution exist?
- if a solution exists, how do we obtain it?

For instance in Convex optimization one seeks to:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Min } f(x) \\ x \in H, \end{cases} \quad (MP)$$

where H is a Hilbert space and $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex.

Define $\partial f : H \rightarrow 2^H$ by

$$\partial f(x) = \{y \in H : \langle y, u-x \rangle \leq f(u) - f(x) \quad \forall u \in H\}$$

Then x is a zero of ∂f (i.e., $0 \in \partial f(x)$) if and only if x solves (MP). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in \partial f(x) &\Leftrightarrow \langle 0, u-x \rangle \leq f(u) - f(x) \quad \forall u \in H \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \leq f(u) - f(x) \quad \forall u \in H \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(x) \leq f(u) \quad \forall u \in H \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \text{ is a global minimizer of } f. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that finding a zero of ∂f is equivalent to finding a fixed point of the multi-valued map $T := I - \partial f$, where I is the identity map of H .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in Tx &\Leftrightarrow x = x - u \text{ for some } u \in \partial f(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 = u \text{ for some } u \in \partial f(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, solving the unconstrained optimizations problem (MP) is reduced to finding a fixed point of some multi-valued map.

1.1 Iterative algorithms for single-valued maps

Theorem 1.1 (Banach fixed point theorem, see, e.g., [1], [10]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction, i.e., there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq kd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a

unique fixed point.
 generated iteratively by

Moreover, the sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in X, \\ x_{n+1} = Tx_n, \quad n \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

(BFT)

from arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ converges to the unique fixed point of T .

The theorem above is perhaps the most applicable theorem in fixed point theory. This is, partly, due to the fact that it guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point and it gives a simple algorithm which converges to unique fixed point. Moreover, the error estimate in the convergence is 1.

Despite the simplicity and numerous applications of the Banach fixed point theorem, one may not be able to apply it if the map is not a contraction. For example if the map is non-expansive. In fact if K is closed nonempty subset of a Banach space (therefore complete), a non-expansive map $T : K \rightarrow K$ may not have a fixed point. For instance, $T : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, $Tx = 1 + x$. This map has no fixed point even though $[0, \infty]$ is complete and T is non-expansive. If X is a normed linear space, $T : K \rightarrow K$ is a non-expansive map and K is convex. The iterative sequence generated by $x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda)x_n + \lambda Tx_n$ which was given by Schaefer was used with a lot of success in approximating fixed point of non-expansive maps. See the monograph of

Chidume ([1], Ch. 6). In trying to extend the result of Banach (1.1) which was given in 1922, to the setting of non-expansive maps, Browder in 1967 proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 (Browder theorem [4]) Let H be a Hilbert space H and let D be a bounded, closed and convex subset H . If $T : D \rightarrow D$ is a non-expansive map, $\{t_n\} \subset (0,1) : t_n \rightarrow 1^-$, then sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} u \in D \text{ fixed,} \\ x_n = t_n u + (1 - t_n) T x_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

(BT)

converges to a fixed point of T .

- Theorem 1.2 addresses both the question of existence and that of obtaining a fixed point. Although, the theorem required the domain to be bounded, which is a huge restriction and the scheme is not iterative, it has provided the chance to have existence as well as obtaining fixed point of a map which is more general than the contraction in the setting of a Hilbert space. Naturally, it is desirable to obtain a similar result in a more general Banach space. To this end, Reich in [15] obtained the following theorem in 1980.

Theorem 1.3 (Reich, [15]) Let X be a uniformly smooth real Banach space and let D bounded, closed and convex subset of X . If $T : D \rightarrow D$ is a nonexpansive map,

$$\begin{cases} u \in D \text{ fixed} \\ x_t = t T x_t + (1 - t) u, t \in (0,1). \end{cases} \tag{RT}$$

Then the sequence $\{x_t\}$ converges to a fixed point of T as $t \rightarrow 1^-$

- Reich extended the result of Browder to a setting of uniformly smooth Banach space, which is more general than Hilbert space. It is worthy of mention here that to prove both Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, Banach

fixed point theorem (Theorem 1.1) has to be used. This further indicates the indispensability of the theorem. In continuation of the quest for better and sharper result, Morales and Jung extended the result of Reich to a more general one. They were able to give a profound generalization in two directions:

1. with regard to the map,
2. with regard to the space.

Precisely, they proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4 (Morales and Jung, [3]) Let X be a reflexive Banach space which has uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and K be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of X and $T : K \rightarrow K$ be a pseudo-contractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that every nonempty closed convex bounded subset of K has a fixed point property for non-expansive mappings. Then there

exists a continuous path $t \rightarrow z_t$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} z \in K, \\ y_t = tTy_t + (1-t)z, t \in [0, 1) \end{cases} \quad (M)$$

Then the sequence $\{y_t\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .

1.2 Iterative algorithms for multi-valued maps

Researchers have devoted a lot of time to see how much of the result which were obtained in the fixed point theorem of single-valued maps (see, [2], [1], [17], [9], [14], [16]) can also be obtained for the multi-valued settings. Certainly, a lot of challenges were faced and are still being faced due to the complexity of the multi-valued situation. In this direction Pietramala in [9] gave an example which shows that Browder's Theorem 1.2 cannot be extended to multi-valued settings. Very recently, Ofoedu and Zegeye (see, [17]) obtained the multi-valued version of the theorem 1.4 of Morales and Jung. They proved the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 (Ofoedu and Zegeye, [17]) Let D be a nonempty, open and

convex subset of a real Banach space X . Assuming that $T : D \rightarrow CB(X)$ is a multi-valued continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric), bounded and

pseudo-contractive mapping satisfying weakly inward condition and $u \in D$ be

fixed. Then for $t \in (0, 1)$ there exists $y_t \in D$ satisfying $y_t \in tTy_t + (1 - t)u$. If in addition, X is reflexive and has uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm and

is such that every closed, convex and bounded subset of D has the fixed point property for non-expansive self mapping, then T has a fixed point if and only if $\{y_t\}$ remains bounded as $t \rightarrow 1$; moreover, in this case, $\{y_t\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T as $t \rightarrow 1$.

This marked a serious breakthrough in extending results which were known in single-valued setting to multi-valued setting. Utilizing this Lemma (1.1), Ofoedu and Zegeye were able to develop an algorithm which converges strongly to a fixed point Lipschitz pseudo-contractive maps in the setting of reflexive real Banach space having Gateaux differentiable norm. In fact they proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5 (Ofoedu and Zegeye, [17]) Let X be a reflexive real Banach space having a uniformly Gateaux differentiable norm, D be a nonempty, open and convex subset of X , such that every closed, convex, bounded and nonempty subset of D has the fixed point property for nonexpansive self-mapping. Let $T : D \rightarrow K(D)$ be a pseudo-contractive Lipschitzian mapping with constant $L > 0$ and let $u \in D$ be fixed. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated iteratively from arbitrary $x_0 \in D$, $w_0 \in Tx_0$ by

$$\begin{cases} w_n \in Tx_n, \\ x_{n+1} := (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n w_n - \lambda_n \theta_n(x_n - x_0) \end{cases} \quad (OZ)$$

Suppose that $\|w_n - w_{n-1}\| = d(w_{n-1}, Tx_n), n \geq 1$. If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .

Even though Theorem 1.5 above has provided an algorithm that generates a sequence which converges strongly to a fixed point of a multi-valued map, Chidume *et al.* in [2] made the following observations:

Remark 1.1

1. To establish convergence of the scheme (OZ) in Theorem 1.5, the authors assumed that $\|w_n - w_{n-1}\| = d(w_{n-1}, Tx_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$. A sufficient condition to guarantee this is to assume that for each x , the set Tx is proximal. In this addition Tx is convex and E is for example, a real Hilbert space, such w_n is characterized as follows:

$$\langle w_{n-1} - w_n, w_n - u_n \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall u_n \in Tx_n.$$

Consequently, this condition requires that a sub-programme be constructed to first compute w_n at each step of the iteration process.

2. Nadler remarked in [14] that requiring a multi-valued mapping to be Lipschitz is placing a strong continuity condition on the mapping. They (the authors in [2]) sought to weaken this condition. In fact, the Lipschitz condition of the map T in Theorem 2.1.5 was weakened to continuity and boundedness of the map T .

Moreover, in many applications, the real Banach space X is either an L_p -space, a W_p^m -space, $1 < p < \infty, m \geq 1$, or a Hilbert space. As has been remarked before, all these spaces are q -uniformly smooth and reflexive. With these above remarks in their mind, it was the purpose in the paper [2] to prove strong convergence theorems for fixed point of multi-valued bounded continuous pseudocontractive maps defined on q -uniformly smooth real Banach spaces. They used the recursion formula in Theorem 1.5, dispensing with the restriction that $\|w_n - w_{n-1}\| = d(w_{n-1}, Tx_n) \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

Furthermore, their iteration process, in the setting of q -uniformly smooth real Banach spaces, is direct, much more applicable than the process in (OZ) since it does not require the creation of a sub-programme to first compute w_n at each step of the iteration process. In particular, in q -uniformly smooth real Banach spaces, their theorems extend Theorem 1.5 (of Ofoedu and Zegeye) from multi-valued Lipschitz pseudo-contractive mappings to the much more general class of multi-valued continuous, bounded and pseudo-contractive mappings. They proved the following theorem :

Theorem 1.6 (Chidume et al., [2]) Let X be a q -uniformly smooth real Banach space and D be a nonempty, open and convex subset of X . Assume that

$T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ is a multi-valued continuous (with respect to the Hausdorff metric), bounded and pseudo-contractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be

a sequence generated iteratively from arbitrary $x_1 \in D$ by

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in Tx_n, \\ x_{n+1} := (1 - \lambda_n)x_n + \lambda_n u_n - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1), n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (CCDM)$$

Then, there exists a real constant $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\lambda_n^{q-1} < \gamma_0 \theta_n \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T .

In this paper, motivated by the above theorem 1.6, we were able to provide an application of the theorem in convex optimization problem. However, we were able to show that finding a solution of a convex optimization problem is equivalent to finding a fixed point of some multi-valued maps.

2 Preliminaries and Results

Remark 2.1 We note that every Hilbert space is 2-uniformly smooth. Indeed, the modulus of smoothness ρ_H of any Hilbert space is given by $\rho_H(\tau) = (1 + \tau^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1, \tau > 0$ which gives $\rho_H(\tau) < \tau^2$ (see, e.g., [8]).

Remark 2.2

1. In every nonempty normed linear space $X, J_q(x) \neq \emptyset$ from one of the consequences of Hahn-Banach Theorem.
2. The generalized duality map is the identity map when X is a real Hilbert space.

Definition 2.1 (Sub-differential function) Let H be Hilbert space and let D be a nonempty convex subset of H . Suppose $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a convex function. The sub-differential function of $f, \partial f : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is defined by

$$\partial f(x) = \{y \in H : f(u) \geq f(x) + \langle y, u-x \rangle \forall u \in H\}$$

Remark 2.3

1. Elements of the sub-differential of f are called the sub-gradients of f .
2. Sub-differential function is maximal monotone.

Lemma 2.1 Let X be a normed space and let A be an open subset of X . If $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a local minimum or local maximum at $a \in A$ and f is Gateaux differentiable at a , then $D_a f(a) = 0$.

Proof: Suppose f has a local maximum at a point $a \in A$. It follows that there exists some $r > 0$ such that $B(a, r) \subset A$ and $f(x) \leq f(a) \forall x \in B(a, r)$. Therefore, for all x in $X, y \neq 0$ we have

$$f(a + ty) - f(a) \leq 0 \forall t \in \left(0, \frac{r}{\|y\|}\right)$$

Thus, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+ty) - f(a)}{t} \leq 0 \forall y \in X$. Hence, $D_G f(a)(y) \leq 0 \forall y \in X$. This implies $D_G f(a)(-y) \leq 0 \forall y \in X$ since $D_G f(a) \in X^*$. We have $D_G f(a) \geq 0 \forall y \in X$ and therefore, $D_G f(a)(y) = 0 \forall y \in Y$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $f: A \subset X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on an open subset A of a normed space X and Gâteaux differentiable at x in A then $\partial f(x) = \{D_G f(x)\}$.

Remark 2.4

1. When f is Gâteaux differentiable, it is usual to write $\partial f(x) = D_G f(x)$.
2. Also, for $f: A \subseteq X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable, it is usual to denote by ∇f the derivative of f .

Now, we take the following preliminaries:

Lemma 2.3 Let $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and Gâteaux differentiable function. Then for $a \in H$, a is a minimizer of f if and only if $f'_G(a) = 0$.

Proof:

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $f'_G(x_0) = 0$. We show that x_0 is a global minimizer. Now let $x \in H$ and let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. By convexity of f ,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x_0) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_0) = \lambda f(x) + f(x_0) - \lambda f(x_0).$$

By rearranging this we have $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x_0) - f(x_0) \leq \lambda(f(x) - f(x_0))$.
 Dividing through by λ and taking limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 0+$ we have,

$$f'_G(x_0)(x - x_0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(x_0 + \lambda(x - x_0)) - f(x_0)}{\lambda} \leq f(x) - f(x_0) \forall x \in H$$

From our hypothesis $f'_G(x_0) = 0$ so that we obtain $0 \leq f(x) - f(x_0) \forall x \in H$. This shows that $f(x_0) \leq f(x) \forall x \in H$. So x_0 is a global minimizer of f . Hence the result.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $x_0 \in C$ is a minimizer, goal is to show that $f'_G(x_0) = 0$. This was shown in Lemma 2.1. Hence the proof.

Lemma 2.4 Let $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. If f is bounded on bounded sets, then for all $x_0 \in H$ and for all $\rho > 0$, f is Lipschitz on $B_\rho(x_0)$.

Let $x_0 \in H$ and let $\rho > 0$. We find $L > 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in B_\rho(x_0)$, $||f(x) - f(y)|| \leq L||x - y||$. Since f bounded on bounded sets, there exists some $m > 0$ such that $||f(x)|| \leq m \forall x \in B_\rho(x_0)$. Now let $x, y \in B_{\frac{\rho}{4}}(x_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ||x - y|| &= ||x - x_0 + x_0 - y|| \\ &\leq ||x - x_0|| + ||y - x_0|| \\ &\leq \frac{\rho}{4} + \frac{\rho}{4} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $||x - y|| \leq \frac{\rho}{2}$. We observe that

$$x = \left[\left(1 - \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho}\right) y + \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho} \left(y + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||}\right) \right].$$

Since $||x - y|| \leq \frac{\rho}{2}$, we have $0 \leq 2\frac{||x - y||}{\rho} \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f \left[\left(1 - \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho}\right) y + \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho} \left(y + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||}\right) \right] \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho}\right) f(y) + \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho} f \left(y + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||}\right) \\ &\leq f(y) + \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho} (f \left(y + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||}\right) - f(y)). \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by convexity of } f \text{)}$$

Now we have

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq \frac{2||x - y||}{\rho} (f \left(y + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||}\right) - f(y)).$$

Observing that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| y + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||} - x_0 \right\| &= \left\| y - x_0 + \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||} \right\| \\ &\leq ||y - x_0|| + \left\| \frac{\rho(x - y)}{2||x - y||} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\rho}{4} + \frac{\rho}{2} \\ &= \frac{3\rho}{4} \leq \frac{4\rho}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that $\left(y + \frac{\rho(x-y)}{2\|x-y\|}\right) \in B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_0)$. Using the fact that f is bounded on bounded sets, it follows that there exists some $m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 0$ such that

$$|f(u)| \leq m \text{ for all } u \in B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_0). \text{ So, } f\left(y + \frac{\rho(x-y)}{2\|x-y\|}\right) \leq m. \text{ Thus, } f(x) - f(y) \leq \frac{2\|x-y\|}{\rho} m.$$

$$f(y) - f(x) \leq \frac{2\|y-x\|}{\rho} m.$$

Following similar arguments we have $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{2m}{\rho} \|x - y\|$. Therefore, $L = \frac{2m}{\rho} > 0$. Setting $L = \frac{2m}{\rho} > 0$, we conclude that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_0)$. Since ρ was arbitrarily chosen, the result follows.

Lemma 2.5 ([6], Ch. 16) Let H be a real Hilbert space and let $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

convex and differentiable. Suppose f is bounded on bounded set, then the gradient map $\nabla f: H \rightarrow H$ is bounded on bounded subset of H .

Lemma 2.6 Suppose H is a Hilbert space. If $A: H \rightarrow 2^H$ is monotone, then $(I - A)$ is pseudo-contractive.

Proof

Let $A: H \rightarrow 2^H$ be monotone. Then by definition $\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq 0 \forall u \in Ax, v \in Ay$. Our goal here is to show that $I - A$ is pseudo-contractive. Now, define $T := I - A$, we recall from Remark 2, $J_2 = I$ (the identity map on H) for real Hilbert spaces. Therefore, for $x, y \in H, u^- \in Tx$ and $v^- \in Ty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u^- - v^-, J(x - y) \rangle &= \langle u^- - v^-, J(x - y) \rangle \\ &= \langle u^- - v^-, x^- - y^- \rangle \\ &= \langle x - u - y + v, x - y \rangle, u \in Ax, v \in Ay \\ &= \langle x - y - (u - v), x - y \rangle, u \in Ax, v \in Ay \\ &= \langle x - y, x - y \rangle - \langle u - v, x - y \rangle, u \in Ax, v \in Ay. \end{aligned}$$

So we have,

$$\langle u^- - v^-, J(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \langle u - v, x - y \rangle, u \in Ax, v \in Ay.$$

From hypothesis, $\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq 0 \forall u \in Ax, v \in Ay$. Therefore, $\langle u^- - v^-, J(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2$. This shows that T is pseudo-contractive. Hence the result.

Lemma 2.7 ([6], Ch.1) Let X be a normed linear space. Suppose A is a nonempty subset of X . A map $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semi-continuous if and only if for every $x \in A$ and $\{x_n\} \subset A$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ imply $f(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$.

Lemma 2.8 ([13]) Let X be a real Banach space. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex, proper (i.e., $f(x_0) < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in X$) and lower semi-continuous. Then the sub-differential of f is maximal monotone.

2.1 Application

Theorem 2.1 Let $f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, bounded and continuously Fréchet differentiable function on a Hilbert space H . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in H

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in H \text{ arbitrarily} \\ x_{n+1} := x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f(x_n) - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1) \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

generated iteratively by (A)

where $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\theta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\lambda_n(1 + \theta_n) < 1$; (ii)

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = 0$;

(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \theta_n = \infty$, $\lambda_n = o(\theta_n)$;

(iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\frac{\theta_n - 1}{\lambda_n} - 1}{\lambda_n \theta_n} \right) = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 < \infty$.

Then, there exists a real constant $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that if $\lambda_n^{-1} < \gamma_0 \theta_n \forall n \geq 1$ and f has a minimizer, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by the scheme (A) converges to a minimizer of f .

Proof:

Using the scheme in (A1),

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f(x_n) - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1) \\ &= x_n - \lambda_n x_n + \lambda_n x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f(x_n) - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1) \\ &= x_n - \lambda_n x_n + \lambda_n (x_n - \nabla f(x_n)) - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1) = (1 - \lambda_n) x_n + \\ &\quad \lambda_n (I - \nabla f) x_n - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $T := I - \nabla f$, we have $x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_n) x_n + \lambda_n w_n - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1)$ for some $w_n \in Tx_n$ which is exactly the scheme in Theorem 1.6, where our map T here is single-valued. We note that

$$Tx^* = x^* \quad \Leftrightarrow x^* - \nabla f(x^*) = x^*$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0.$$

Therefore by lemma 4.1.7 $x^* \in F(T)$ if and only if x^* is a minimizer of f on H . It is enough, therefore, to show that $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T . To do this, we employ theorem 1.6.

Space requirement: The authors in [2] worked on a nonempty, open and convex subset D of a q -uniformly smooth real Banach space X . We have a real Hilbert space H , i.e., $X = H$, and we take $D = H$. It is well known that every Hilbert space is 2-uniformly smooth space (see Remark 2.1). So, this condition is satisfied. Also H is open convex and nonempty. So the space requirement is satisfied.

Map requirements: In Theorem 1.6 the map used is a multi-valued continuous, bounded and pseudo-contractive mapping. We need to show that the map used in the application (A1) also satisfies all these conditions. Since our map T is single-valued, we have $Tx = \{x - \nabla f(x)\}$ which is closed and bounded (singleton sets are closed in every metric space). Thus $Tx \in CB(H)$.

We now need to show that the map $T := I - \nabla f$ is bounded. Now, let B be a bounded subset of H . Then we can find some $m_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq m_1 \quad \forall x \in B.$$

By Lemma 2.5 ∇f is indeed bounded, i.e., there exists some $m_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq m_2 \forall x \in B.$$

For $x \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\| &= \|(I - \nabla f)(x)\| \\ &= \|x - \nabla f(x)\| \\ &\leq \|x\| + \|\nabla f(x)\| \text{ (by triangular inequality)} \\ &\leq m_1 + m_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map T is bounded.

For pseudo-contractiveness, ∇f is maximal monotone (from the property of the sub-differential function in Remark 2.3) and continuous from the hypothesis. Clearly by Lemma 2.6 $I - \nabla f$ is pseudo-contractive. Also, I (the identity function) is a continuous function and the difference of two continuous functions is also a continuous function. Thus the map $T := I - \nabla f$ is continuous. In Theorem 1.6, it is assumed that the set of fixed points is not empty. We assumed that the minimization problem has a solution. Since the fixed point set of T is the same as the set of the minimizers of f over H , we have that the fixed point set of T is nonempty. Thus, all the map requirements are satisfied.

We therefore conclude that $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T which is a minimizer of f . This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.1.1 Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and continuously differentiable function on a finite domain. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $D(f)$ generated iteratively by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in H \text{ arbitrarily} \\ x_{n+1} := x_n - \lambda_n \nabla f(x_n) - \lambda_n \theta_n (x_n - x_1) \end{cases}$$

(AC)

Where $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\theta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\lambda_n(1 + \theta_n) < 1$; (ii)

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = 0$;

(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \theta_n = \infty, \lambda_n = o(\theta_n)$;

(iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\frac{\theta_n - 1}{\theta_n} - 1}{\lambda_n \theta_n} \right) = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 < \infty$.

Then, there exists a real constant $\gamma_0 > 0$ such that if $\lambda_n^{-1} < \gamma_0 \theta_n \forall n \geq 1$ and T has a minimum on $D(T)$ then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a minimizer of R^n .

Proof:

Because of the fact that R^n with the Euclidian norm is a Hilbert space, the proof follows directly from the proof of (2.1).

References

[1] Charles Chidume, "Geometric properties of Banach spaces and Nonlinear Iterations," Springer Verlag Series: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1965, ISBN 978-1-84882-189-7, 2009.

[2] C.E. Chidume, C.O. Chidume, N. Djitte and Ma'aruf S. Minjibir, "Iterative Algorithm for Fixed Point of Multi – valued Pseudo – contractive Mappings in Banach Spaces," Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 15(no. 2):257-267, 2014.

[3] C. H. Morales and Jung J. S., "Convergence of Paths for Pseudo – contractive Mappings in Banach Spaces," Proc. American Mathematical Society, 128:3677-2683, 2000.

[4] F.E., Browder, "Nonlinear Mappings of Nonexpansive and Accretive type in Banach Spaces," Bull. American Mathematical Society, 73:875-882, 1967.

[5] HainBrezis, "Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces And Partial Differential Equations," Springer: Mathematical Subject Classification: (2010), ISBN 978-0-38770913-0, 2010.

[6] H. Heinz Bauschke and Patric L. Combettes,

- "Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces,"*
Springer Canadian Mathematical Society: Math. sub. Class:
(2010), ISBN 978-1-4419-9467-7, 2010.
- [7] L. E. J. Brouwer, "Über Abbildungen von Mannigfaltigkeiten,"
Mathematische Annalen, 71:97-115, 1912.
- [8] Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L., "Classical Banach Spaces II: Function Spaces,"
Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete Bd., Vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] P. Pietramala, "Convergence of Approximating Fixed Point Sets for Multi-
valued Nonexpansive Mappings," Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin, 32:697701,
1991.
- [10] P. Ravi Agarwal, Maria Meehan and Donald O'Regan,
"Fixed Point Theory and Applications," Cambridge University Press: (2004), ISBN
0-511-03258-7 eBOOK (Adobe Reader) ISBN 0-521-802504 hardback, 2004.
- [11] R. T. Rockafellar, "On the Maximality of Sum of non Linear Monotone
Operators," Transactions of American Mathematical Society, Volume: 149:543-
550, 1970.
- [12] R. T. Rockafellar, "Convex Analysis," Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [13] R. T. Rockafellar, "On the Maximal monotonicity of Sub-differential
mappings," Pacific Journal of mathematical Society, Volume: 33:651-655,
1970.
- [14] S.B Nadler Jr., "Multi - valued contraction mappings," Pacific Journal of
Mathematical Society, 30:475-488, 1969.
- [15] S., Reich, "Strong convergent Theorems for Resolvents of Accretive Operators
in Banach Spaces," Journal Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 72:435-
439, 1980.
- [16] T.M.M Sow, M. Sene and N. Djitte,
"Strong Convergence Theorem for a Common Fixed Point of a Finite Family of Multi- valued
Mappings in Certain Banach Spaces," International Journal of
Mathematical Analysis, 9:437-452, 2015.
- [17] U. Eric Ofoedu and HabtuZegeye, "Iterative Algorithm for Multi - valued
Pseudo - contractive Mappings in Banach Spaces," Journal of Mathematical
Analysis and Applications, 372:68-76, 2010.